1. Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a spectrum of common metabolic disorders, arising from a variety of pathogenic mechanisms, all resulting in hyperglycaemias. It is a serious public health problem because of its high incidence in the population, its complications, mortality, high financial and social cost involve in the treatment and a significant deterioration in the quality of life of the people.¹

The worldwide prevalence of Diabetes mellitus (DM) has risen dramatically over the past two decades from an estimated 30 million cases in 1985 to 425 millions in 2019. Based on current trends, the International Diabetes Federation projects that 592 million individuals will have diabetes by the year 2035.²

India leads the world with largest number of diabetes subject earning the dubious distinction of being termed as the diabetes capital of the world.³ The prevalence of diabetes in Indian adults was found to be 2.4% in rural and 4.0% - 11.6% in urban dwellers.⁴ India is presently estimated to have 41 million individuals affected by this deadly disease with every fifth diabetic in the world being an Indian.⁵

Being a chronic disease with no known curative therapy, the only option for the optimal glucose control is through the strict adherence to medication, diet and life style modifications. And many a times, patients do not adhere to the therapy because of many reasons. The World Health Organization defines “drug utilization” as the...
marketing distribution, prescription and use of the drugs in a society considering its medical, social, and economic consequences. 

Drug utilization study of anti-diabetic agent is of paramount importance to promote rational drug use and also to make available valuable information for health planning. Yet, research publications regarding this issue in the northeastern part of India are very few in number. Hence, it was felt important to take up the present study.

2. Aims

The present study was taken up to explore into the drug-utilization pattern among diabetic patients.

3. Materials and Methods

After obtaining the approval from the Institutional Ethical Committee, JNIMS, and written informed consent from the patient a cross-sectional study was carried out in the Department of Pharmacology in collaboration with Medicine Department, JNIMS, Porompat which is the only state-owned teaching medical institution. The study period was for two years starting from May 2018. Patients aged of 18 years or more with the clinical diagnosis of type II DM and admitted in the Medicine Ward were the study-subjects. Patients with co-morbid conditions (heart diseases, hypercholesterolemia, chronic lung diseases, and diseases of the nervous system like Parkinson’s disease or Multiple Sclerosis and hypertension were excluded from the study. Also those patients who refused to participate in the study were excluded.

A sample size of 213 was scientifically calculated by taking into consideration an existing prevalence rate of 16.63%, a confidence level of 95% and an absolute error of 5. Consecutive eligible study-subjects were enrolled by using purposive sampling technique.

Case records of the patients which were focused on the socio-demographic profile of the patients and a pre-tested interview schedule which had sections on the clinical history including present and past history as well as family history. Lastly, information on the medical compliance profile was collected by using the Medical Compliance Questionnaire (MCQ) which was modified to suit the local situation.

Collected data were entered in MS excel sheet which was later transported to SPSS.v23. Only descriptive statistics (Mean, SD, proportions) were used for data analysis and presentation.

4. Results

At the time of writing this interim report, datas were collected from a total of 100 patients. Females outnumbered males in the ration of 1.22:1. (Figure 1) Their ages ranged from 26 to 70 years, the mean age (standard deviation) being 55.03 (8.58) years.

A total of 40 patients (40%) had insulin injection as the primary form of medical therapy out of which 07 used to take oral hypoglycemic drugs or absorbent inhibitors. Metformin in the dosage of 500 or 1000 mg was used by 57 patients (57%) along with other drugs. Fifty-one (51%) patients were under treatment with a single medication; 22 (22%) were on double medication and the remaining 27 patients (27%) were on more than two drugs. (Figure 2)

Voglibose was the drug used as absorbent inhibitor and was prescribed to one-tenth of all the patients (10%). Seven patients (7%) were taking a combination of metformin and glimperide. One patient was taking teneligliptin in addition to metformin and glimperide and another patient was on metformin, glimperide and gl.
Table 1: Treatment adherence among study-subjects (n=100)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Never</th>
<th>Sometimes</th>
<th>Often</th>
<th>Always</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forgetting to take medicine</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deciding not to take medicine</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing medicine when felt better</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deciding to take less medicine</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stopping medication due to sick effect</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forgetting to take drug during travel</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not taking medicine as stock out at home</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

better during the course of the treatment.

Majority of the patients (87; 87%) never stopped taking the medications although they felt sick of the medications. But 11 (11%) sometimes stopped it and another 03 patients (03%) used to stop it often/always. During travel away from home, more than one-third of them (37; 37%) used to forget taking the drugs along with them whereas the remaining 63 (63%) always carried them with them.

Lastly, nearly two-fifths of them (38; 38%) had to stop taking the drugs sometimes when the home-stock was nil whereas, the remaining 62% somehow managed to continue the drugs without any interruption. (Table 1 and Figure 3)

Fig. 3: Treatment adherence pattern
(* x axis represents adherence pattern and y axis represents number of patients)

5. Discussion

Treatment regimens and type of drugs used vary across the world. Ashutosh K et al. concluded that 30% of the treatments was based on monotherapy and metformin was the commonly used drug whereas Suthar SD et al. found that 29.6% of the treatment regimens was based on monotherapy with insulin being the most commonly used drug.8,9 In the present study, 51% of the patients were on monotherapy. Metformin was the most commonly used drug (57%) and insulin was the next frequently used drug (40%). The variations might be because of the type of patient and the study place. Also, the experience of the treating physician might have a role in it.

A wide range of treatment adherence among diabetic patients was observed by different researchers from various parts of the world. Jemal A et al in Ethiopia found a treatment rate of 70.4% among type II DM.10 In India Anumol M et al. found it to be as low as 57% only.11 From the present study, the treatment adherence was found to be in between these two earlier findings (66.6%). Again, the year of study, region where the studies were done, the type of patients and the background characteristics of the patients might have an influence on the drug adherence.

The present study is one of its first kind in the north-eastern part of the country and might help in health policy planning regarding diabetes program in the region. Nevertheless, the study had certain limitations as it is only an interim report pertaining to 100 patients only. Hence, the findings may not be generalizable.

6. Conclusion

The treatment adherence to medications among the study-subjects was found to be 66%. But more important than the quantitative figures in treatment adherence pattern is that one-third of the patients (36%) deciding to stop medication at some point of time, almost half (41%) of them thinking of stopping the drug when they started feeling better, one-third (31%) trying to reduce the number of medicines, another one-third (37%) forgetting to take the medicine with them when they travel away from home and more than one-third (38%) stopping the medications when the home-stock ran out. This might be because of inadequate counseling during starting of the therapy and also during the follow-up periods. Endocrinologists along with their counsellors need to keep this issue in their mind while initiating diabetic medications.

Bigger studies are warranted to bring a valid conclusion which will be generalizable. If factors are amiable, community-based studies may give more accurate results.
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