Pattern of adverse drug reactions in rural tertiary care medical college and hospital of Himachal Pradesh: A retrospective observational study
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Abstract
Introduction: Adverse events can lead to admission in hospital, prolongation of hospitalization, increase in investigations as well as treatment costs, deformities, danger to life and even death.
Objective: The aim of this study was to conduct adverse drug reactions (ADRs) monitoring in various departments of a tertiary care teaching hospital.
Methods: A retrospective observational study was conducted on ADRs reported in adverse drug monitoring center of our hospital from April, 2016 to December, 2016. Data such as age, gender, diagnosis, drug intake, types of ADRs, treatment and outcome of the reactions were collected. Each reported patient was assessed individually. Causality assessment was done on Naranjo’s probability scale. Modified Hartwig’s criteria were used to assess the severity of ADRs.
Results: A total of 173 reports were studied. 65 (38%) patients were males and 108 (62%) were females. The majority of ADRs were due to cancer chemotherapy agents in 87 (50%), followed by anti-tubercular drugs in 22 (13%), antimicrobial agents in 22 (13%) and anti-retroviral drugs in 16 (9%). Out of total 574 events, 192 (34%) complaints comprised of gastro-intestinal manifestations. On Naranjo’s probability scale, 111 (64%) reactions were probable, 54 (31%) as possible and 8 (5%) as certain. According to Modified Hartwig’s criteria 130 (75%) reactions were mild, 29 (17%) were moderate and 14(8%) were severe.
Conclusion: Health-care providers will have to do vigilant monitoring and reporting of ADR’s.
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Introduction
Adverse events can lead to hospitalization, prolonged stay, increase in investigations and treatment cost, birth defects and even death. World Health Organization (WHO) defines ADR as “response to a drug, which is noxious and unintended, and which occurs at doses normally used in man for prophylaxis, diagnosis or therapy of disease, or for the modification of physiological function.” Pharmacovigilance Programme of India (PVPI) 2014 states that 6.7% of patients have serious adverse events. Similar studies have documented that hospital admissions due to ADR were 3.4%, hospital readmissions were 3.7%, and mortality was 1.8%.

Materials and Methods
This was a retrospective observational study conducted for a duration of 6 months, from April 2016 to December 2016 at Dr. Rajendra Prasad Government Medical College and Hospital Kangra at Tanda. ADR details were collected from the Adverse Drug Monitoring Center of the institution. Patient information such as age, gender, diagnosis, drugs prescribed, types of ADR, treatment and outcome of the reaction were collected. Each reported patient was assessed individually. Causality assessment was done based on Naranjo’s probability scale. The total score was calculated based on the scale and it was categorized as certain (score >9), probable (score 5-8), and possible (score 1-4).

Results
Total 173 case reports were studied. Of these males were 65 (38%) and females were 108 (62%). (Fig.1).

Fig. 1: Gender distribution

Age and Gender Distribution: Most of the patients were in age group of 19 to 80 years (95%), prevalence of ADR’s was more in female population. The mean age of males was 49.15±16.86 years and females was 47.58±15.69 years. Maximum 71 (41%) patients were from the age group 41 – 60 years. (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total (173)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 - 18 Yrs</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8 (4.62%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 - 40 Yrs</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>50 (28.90%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 – 60 Yrs</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>71 (41.04%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Age and gender distribution
Drugs responsible for the adverse drug reactions were mostly anticancer drugs 87 (50%), followed by antitubercular drugs 22 (13%), antimicrobial agents 22 (13%), antiretroviral drugs 16 (9%) and others in 26 (15%) patients. Others consisted of anti-snake venom, blood transfusion, anti-seizure drugs and NSAIDs. Chemotherapeutic drugs were responsible for most of the adverse drug reaction in 147 (85%) patients. (Fig. 2).

Seriousness of the adverse drug reactions 123 (71%) patients required no hospitalization, followed by hospitalization in 18 (10%), intervention was required in 16 (9%), life threatening events in 7 (4%), disability in 6 (4%) and death in 3 (2%) patients (Fig. 3).

Severity assessment Adverse drug reactions were mild in 130 (75%), moderate in 29 (17%) and severe in 14 (8%) (Fig. 4).

Organ systems involvements in ADR’s Out of 574 adverse events reported, most commonly gastrointestinal system was involved in 192 (34%), followed by nervous system in 132 (23%), skin in 86 (15%), eye and ENT in 37 (6%), musculo-skeletal system in 11 (2%), hematological system in 9 (2%), psychiatric symptoms in 8 (1%) and genito-urinary system in 6 (1%) and others in 93 (16%) (Fig. 6).
**Discussion**

ADR’s are more prevalent among females as compared to males which was similar to study done by Saravanan et al. Incidence in the female adult population could be explained by the fact that women are more prone to polypharmacy and more sensitivity toward medication.

Severity of reactions was similar to a study by Shamna et al.

On Naranjo scale, the causality assessment of 64% of reactions was Probable, while a study done by Mandaviet al reported 88.6% as probable.

Most notorious drugs to cause adverse drug reactions were anticancer drugs since the patients were on multiple drugs.

**Conclusion**

Pharmacovigilance programs should be publicised to sensitize the health-care workers about monitoring and documentation of ADRs. This can curtail many untoward reactions in patient.
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